

Human-Reviewed Writing Report

A detailed review of your submitted Academic Writing Task 1 response.

ESTIMATED OVERALL BAND	8.5
A highly accomplished response. The main opportunities concern analytical precision and chart-specific wording rather than grammar or organisation.	

REPORT ID AHR-SAMPLE-TASK1	TASK Academic Writing Task 1
SOURCE Public Sample	WORD COUNT 263 words
SUBMITTED Sample report	REVIEWED Sample report

Score Breakdown

8 Task Achievement	9 Coherence & Cohesion	8 Lexical Resource	9 Grammar & Accuracy
-----------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

REVIEWER SUMMARY
This response is accurate, coherent and linguistically sophisticated. Its organisation is a particular strength: the overview identifies a meaningful pattern and the detail paragraphs compare all five regions efficiently. The main limitations are the inclusion of an image filename, wording that occasionally implies causation beyond the data, and a few terms that could match the chart variables more precisely. These are refinements at a high level, not fundamental writing problems.

01 · TASK SNAPSHOT

The task you responded to


The original prompt and visual are reproduced here so the review remains self-contained.

Part 1

You should spend about 20 minutes on this task. Write at least 150 words.

The chart below presents a multi-variable analysis of the relationship between agricultural productivity (cereal yield per hectare) and key environmental inputs across five major food-producing regions in the 2022 growing season.

Summarise the information by selecting and reporting the main features, and make comparisons where relevant.



REVIEW FOCUS

Select the principal relationships among yield, irrigation coverage and temperature; support them with accurate figures; and avoid converting a five-region observation into a universal causal claim.

02 · ANNOTATED RESPONSE

Introduction and overview

Coloured marks identify exact places where the response succeeds or can be improved.

RED Correction	AMBER Accuracy / clarity	BLUE Suggested wording	GREEN Strength
--------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------

INTRODUCTION

The provided bubble chart, **"image_57b66b.png"**^[1] illustrates the relationship between agricultural productivity (measured in cereal yield per hectare), **the percentage of effective irrigation area**^[2], and the mean temperature during the growing season across five distinct agricultural regions **in the year 2022**^[3].

[1] CORRECTION · Delete the filename

The uploaded image filename is a technical artefact, not part of the chart. It must not appear in the response.

[2] UPGRADE · Use the chart label more naturally

Replace this with **effective irrigation coverage**. It is shorter and more precise.

[3] UPGRADE · Be concise

Use **in 2022**. The longer phrase adds no meaning.

OVERVIEW

Overall, it is clear that higher irrigation percentages do not automatically guarantee the highest cereal yields^[4]. The data suggests that the highest productivity is achieved under moderate temperature conditions^[5] with substantial, but not maximum, irrigation, while extreme temperatures—both high and low—correlate with lower crop yields.^[6]

[4] STRENGTH · A meaningful main feature

This is the strongest insight in the response. It synthesises yield and irrigation instead of listing regions.

[5] ACCURACY · Avoid implying causation

Is achieved under suggests that these conditions produced the result. The chart shows an observed association, not a proven cause.

[6] ACCURACY · Keep the claim within the dataset

With only five regional observations, describe the temperature pattern specifically rather than presenting a broad statistical correlation.

02 · ANNOTATED RESPONSE

Detailed paragraphs

The numerical reporting is strong; most changes below refine precision and formality.

DETAIL PARAGRAPH 1

The River Delta region demonstrated the highest agricultural productivity, producing approximately 7.1 tonnes of cereal per hectare. This was achieved with^[7] a relatively high effective irrigation area of roughly 72% and a moderate mean growing season temperature of 22°C. The Prairie Belt also showed strong performance, yielding about 6.4 tonnes per hectare, despite utilizing significantly less irrigation (approximately 35%) and experiencing a cooler average temperature of 18.5°C.^[8]

[7] CLARITY · Use neutral descriptive wording

Replace This was achieved with with This was recorded alongside to avoid implying a direct cause.

[8] STRENGTH · Effective comparison

Prairie Belt is compared directly with River Delta across yield, irrigation and temperature. This is efficient and relevant selection.

DETAIL PARAGRAPH 2

In contrast, the Highland Plateau recorded the lowest yield at approximately 3.8 tonnes per hectare. This region also had the lowest environmental inputs^[9], with only about 22% effective irrigation and the coolest mean temperature of 15°C. Interestingly,^[10] the Irrigated Oasis featured the highest proportion of irrigated land at nearly 85% and the hottest climate^[11] (26.5°C), yet its cereal yield was comparatively modest at roughly 5.1 tonnes per hectare. Finally, the Coastal Plain sat in the middle of the dataset^[12], producing around 5.9 tonnes per hectare with nearly 48% irrigation coverage and a 20°C average temperature.

[9] CLARITY · Name the variables

Environmental inputs is vague. State that this region had the lowest irrigation coverage and the lowest growing-season temperature.

[10] OPTIONAL EDIT · Neutralise the tone

Interestingly is not a grammatical error. Removing it simply makes the report more neutral and concise.

[11] ACCURACY · Temperature is not climate

The chart reports a single growing-season mean. Use the highest mean growing-season temperature.

[12] UPGRADE · Use a more formal comparison

Replace this with occupied a mid-range position.

03 · ASSESSMENT

How the score was determined

Each criterion is assessed independently. The overall estimate is the average of the four scores.

TASK ACHIEVEMENT

8

All five regions and all three variables are covered with a clear overview and relevant figures.

What worked

- Strong selection of the central irrigation–yield contrast.
- Accurate reporting of the main values.
- Clear comparisons rather than five isolated descriptions.

To improve

- Remove the image filename.
- Qualify claims that imply cause or broad correlation.

COHERENCE & COHESION

9

The response is effortless to follow and the four-paragraph structure is skilfully managed.

What worked

- A distinct introduction, overview and two logical detail groups.
- Cohesive expressions are natural and unobtrusive.
- Comparisons progress clearly from the highest yields to the remaining regions.

To improve

- The final detail paragraph is dense, but it remains fully controlled.

LEXICAL RESOURCE

8

Vocabulary is wide and flexible, with only occasional imprecision in chart-specific wording.

What worked

- Strong topic vocabulary and accurate numerical language.
- Natural use of contrast and degree.
- No spelling or word-formation problems.

To improve

- Prefer exact terms such as irrigation coverage and growing-season temperature.
- Avoid vague or overly broad labels such as environmental inputs and climate.

GRAMMATICAL RANGE & ACCURACY

9

A wide range of complex structures is used with consistent accuracy and control.

What worked

- Complex comparisons remain clear.
- Punctuation and agreement are consistently accurate.
- No recurring grammatical error is present.

To improve

- A few long sentences could be shortened for faster timed writing, but they are grammatically correct.

04 · PRIORITY ACTION PLAN

Three changes with the greatest impact

Focus on these before making smaller stylistic edits.

[1] PRIORITY · Remove technical artefacts

Never include filenames, upload references or system text. Begin directly with what the visual compares.

[2] PRIORITY · Separate observation from explanation

Describe the pattern shown, but do not turn it into a proven cause or a universal agricultural rule.

[3] PRIORITY · Use exact chart language

Refer specifically to irrigation coverage, mean growing-season temperature and cereal yield.

A stronger overview

ORIGINAL	RECOMMENDED
The data suggests that the highest productivity is achieved under moderate temperature conditions with substantial, but not maximum, irrigation, while extreme temperatures—both high and low—correlate with lower crop yields.	Overall, River Delta recorded the highest yield despite not having the greatest irrigation coverage, while Highland Plateau and Irrigated Oasis—the coolest and warmest regions respectively—produced comparatively lower yields.

Timed-writing target

At 263 words, the response is comfortably above the minimum. The additional length is not itself an error, but a target of roughly 180–210 words would leave more time for checking chart terminology and removing technical artefacts.

05 · REVISED VERSION

Your response, edited

This is not a separate sample answer. It preserves the original structure, comparisons and key content while applying the review notes.

The bubble chart compares cereal yield, effective irrigation coverage and mean growing-season temperature across five agricultural regions in 2022.

Overall, River Delta recorded the highest yield despite not having the greatest irrigation coverage, while Highland Plateau and Irrigated Oasis—the coolest and warmest regions respectively—produced comparatively lower yields. Prairie Belt also performed strongly despite its relatively limited irrigation coverage.

River Delta produced approximately 7.1 tonnes of cereal per hectare, alongside irrigation coverage of roughly 72% and a mean growing-season temperature of 22°C. Prairie Belt ranked second at about 6.4 tonnes per hectare, even though only approximately 35% of its land was effectively irrigated and its corresponding temperature was lower, at 18.5°C. Coastal Plain occupied a mid-range position, recording a yield of around 5.9 tonnes per hectare, irrigation coverage of nearly 48% and a mean temperature of 20°C.

At the lower end, Highland Plateau produced only about 3.8 tonnes per hectare. It also had the lowest irrigation coverage, at approximately 22%, and the lowest mean temperature, at 15°C. By contrast, Irrigated Oasis had the greatest irrigation coverage, at just over 80%, and the highest mean temperature, at 26.5°C, yet its cereal yield was only around 5.1 tonnes per hectare.

What changed

01	Removed the image filename and shortened the introduction.
02	Reframed the overview as a description of the five regions rather than a causal conclusion.
03	Replaced broad wording with exact labels for irrigation coverage and growing-season temperature.
04	Retained the original comparisons and all important numerical evidence.

06 · NEXT-STEP PRACTICE

Turn this review into progress

Three short exercises target the exact limitations identified in this response.

01

Overview drill

Choose three Task 1 visuals. For each, write a two-sentence overview with no figures and no causal language. Underline the comparison that represents the main feature.

02

Precision check

After writing, compare every key noun with the labels on the visual. Replace broad terms such as climate, input or performance when a more exact variable is available.

03

Three-minute edit

Reserve the final three minutes to remove filenames, copied interface text, unnecessary commentary and repeated wording.

FINAL REVIEW

Estimated Band 8.5

Your grammar and organisation already operate at a very high level. The next step is not to add more sophisticated language, but to make every analytical claim as precise and defensible as the numerical reporting.

Prepared for your Auvoxi Human Review submission. Keep this report with your revised response for future comparison.