

Human-Reviewed Writing Report

A detailed review of your submitted Academic Writing Task 2 response.

ESTIMATED OVERALL BAND	7.5
A strong, well-controlled response. The clearest route to a higher band is deeper argument development and more precise, less absolute wording—not more complex grammar.	

REPORT ID AHR-SAMPLE-TASK2	TASK Academic Writing Task 2
SOURCE Public Sample	WORD COUNT 399 words
SUBMITTED Sample report	REVIEWED Sample report

Score Breakdown

7 Task Response	8 Coherence & Cohesion	7 Lexical Resource	8 Grammar & Accuracy
--------------------	---------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

REVIEWER SUMMARY

The response addresses both perspectives, presents a consistent opinion and is easy to follow. The web-development example gives the discussion authenticity, while the range and control of sentence structures are strong. The main limitation is depth: several claims about jobs, skills and emotional value are plausible but remain broad, and the separate opinion paragraph partly restates the thesis instead of adding a new condition or consequence. A few collocations also sound less natural than the surrounding language.
--

01 · TASK SNAPSHOT

The task you responded to

The complete prompt is reproduced here so the review remains self-contained.

Write about the following topic:

Generative artificial intelligence (AI) systems can produce text, computer code, images and other forms of media. Some people believe that these tools make creative work more accessible and can significantly improve productivity. Others worry that they may weaken human skills, reduce demand for creative professionals and make human-created work less valuable.

Discuss both views and give your own opinion.

Give reasons for your answer and include any relevant examples from your own knowledge or experience.

What the task requires

DISCUSS BOTH VIEWS	Explain why AI may increase access and productivity, and why it may weaken skills, employment or perceived human value.
STATE YOUR POSITION	Give a clear opinion throughout—not only in the conclusion—and show how it follows from the discussion.
DEVELOP SUPPORT	Move one step beyond a claim: explain the mechanism, consequence or concrete example that proves it.
MAINTAIN PRECISION	Avoid absolute predictions such as AI ‘destroying’ skills or jobs unless the wording is carefully qualified.

WORD-COUNT NOTE

At 399 words, the response is safely above the 250-word minimum. The length is controlled, but two arguments would benefit more from additional explanation than from additional topics.

02 · ANNOTATED RESPONSE

Introduction and the case for AI

Coloured marks identify exact strengths and high-impact revisions.

RED Correction	AMBER Development / clarity	BLUE Suggested wording	GREEN Strength
-------------------	--------------------------------	---------------------------	-------------------

INTRODUCTION

In recent years, generative artificial intelligence has become a ~~highly discussed topic~~^[1]. Systems that can create text, images, and computer code are now widely available. Some argue that these tools boost productivity and make creativity easier, while others fear they will ~~destroy human skills and take away jobs~~^[2] from professionals. In my opinion, while the risks are real, AI is mostly a helpful tool that improves human work rather than replacing it entirely.^[3]

[1] CORRECTION · Use a natural academic collocation

Replace highly discussed topic with **widely debated issue**.

[2] PRECISION · Avoid absolute predictions

Destroy and take away overstate the opposing view. Use **erode some skills and reduce employment opportunities**.

[3] STRENGTH · A direct and balanced position

The thesis acknowledges the risks but clearly states which side is more persuasive. This position remains consistent to the end.

BENEFITS PARAGRAPH

On the one hand, AI makes creative and technical tasks much more accessible. In the past, building a web application or designing digital art required years of specialized study. Now, these tools lower the barrier to entry, significantly improving productivity. For example, in my own experience with web development, using AI models to write repetitive script components or run automated diagnostic checks saves a huge amount of time.^[4] It allows people to focus on bigger tasks, like overall system architecture or user experience, rather than getting stuck on **basic coding details**^[5].

[4] STRENGTH · Specific first-hand support

This is the response's most convincing evidence. It shows exactly how AI changes a workflow rather than merely claiming that it saves time.

[5] UPGRADE · Sharpen the comparison

Use **routine implementation details**. This contrasts more precisely with architecture and user experience.

02 · ANNOTATED RESPONSE

Risks, personal view and conclusion

The language is controlled; most notes target depth and precision.

RISKS PARAGRAPH

On the other hand, there are valid concerns about the negative impacts of AI on human skills. Many worry that if we rely too much on these tools, our independent thinking and foundational skills will weaken. Furthermore, some creative professionals might face reduced demand because companies can use AI to generate ‘good enough’ content for free.^[6] There is also the argument that AI-generated work lacks true human emotion. For instance, an AI can write a basic story, but it cannot easily create the deep emotional connection found in a classic romantic drama^[7] or explore complex cinematic themes with real human empathy. This makes some people feel that art is becoming devalued.^[8]

[6] DEVELOPMENT · Explain who is most exposed

The employment point is relevant but broad. Add a mechanism—for example, routine entry-level copywriting or stock-image work may be automated before strategy-led roles.

[7] CLARITY · Make the example analytical

A genre label does not prove emotional depth. Explain that human creators draw on lived experience, cultural memory and deliberate artistic choices.

[8] DEVELOPMENT · Define what ‘devalued’ means

State whether this means lower pay, less audience trust or reduced cultural prestige. Precision would turn a plausible claim into a developed argument.

PERSONAL VIEW

However, I believe that human creativity and AI can ~~work together perfectly~~^[9]. AI is very good at producing fast drafts or ~~comparing data parameters~~^[10], but it still heavily relies on human oversight. When testing different AI models for complex tasks, it becomes obvious that human judgment is always necessary to fix errors, refine logic, and add a personal touch.^[11] AI should be seen as an assistant, not a master.

[9] CORRECTION · Avoid an unqualified absolute

Replace this with can complement one another effectively.

[10] CORRECTION · Repair the collocation

Use analysing structured data. Comparing data parameters is not natural in this context.

[11] STRENGTH · Clear rationale for the opinion

This explains why assistance is more realistic than full replacement: error correction, logical refinement and personal judgment still require a person.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, while it is understandable that people worry about losing skills and jobs to generative AI, the benefits of higher productivity and accessibility outweigh the drawbacks. As long as we use AI as a supportive tool and continue to value human emotion and oversight, it will be a positive force for our future work.^[12]

[12] STRENGTH · A consistent final position

The conclusion answers the question and adds a useful condition: the outcome depends on human oversight rather than adoption alone.

03 · ASSESSMENT

How the score was determined

The four estimates are anchored to the official IELTS Writing Task 2 descriptors supplied for this review.

TASK RESPONSE 7	Both views are addressed and a clear, developed position is maintained. Main ideas are relevant and supported, although several claims remain general rather than fully extended. What worked • Direct response to every part of the prompt. • A clear opinion in the introduction and conclusion. • Relevant personal example from web development. To improve • Develop the employment and artistic-value claims one step further. • Use a mechanism or consequence instead of relying on broad assertions.
COHERENCE & COHESION 8	The message is easy to follow. Ideas are logically sequenced, cohesion is well managed and paragraphing is consistently appropriate. What worked • Each paragraph has a clear purpose. • The discussion moves logically from benefits to risks and then judgment. • Referencing is clear and repetition is controlled. To improve • The separate opinion paragraph partly repeats the thesis; it could add a concrete safeguard or condition. • The signposting is effective but conventional.
LEXICAL RESOURCE 7	Vocabulary is sufficiently flexible and precise for the task, with several effective less-common items. A few collocations and absolute expressions reduce naturalness. What worked • Effective phrases such as barrier to entry, foundational skills and human oversight. • Accurate topic vocabulary across technology, employment and creativity. • Spelling and word formation are consistently controlled. To improve • Replace highly discussed topic and comparing data parameters. • Qualify strong verbs such as destroy and avoid vague evaluative phrases.
GRAMMATICAL RANGE & ACCURACY 8	A wide range of sentence structures is used flexibly and accurately. The majority of sentences are error-free, and punctuation is well managed. What worked • Complex sentences remain clear despite multiple clauses. • Agreement, tense and articles are consistently controlled. • No recurring grammatical error affects communication. To improve • A few long sentences could be tightened under timed conditions. • Most required edits concern wording, not grammar.

04 · PRIORITY ACTION PLAN

Three changes with the greatest impact

These changes are more valuable than adding rarer vocabulary or longer sentences.

[1] PRIORITY · Extend claims with a mechanism

After each major claim, answer: who is affected, how does this happen, and what is the likely consequence?

[2] PRIORITY · Replace absolutes with calibrated language

Use may erode, could reduce and is likely to affect instead of destroy, take away and perfectly.

[3] PRIORITY · Make the opinion paragraph add new value

Introduce a safeguard such as human accountability, skills training or disclosure of AI use rather than restating that oversight matters.

A more developed risk argument

ORIGINAL	RECOMMENDED
Some creative professionals might face reduced demand because companies can use AI to generate 'good enough' content for free.	Creative professionals who produce routine, high-volume content may face the greatest pressure because firms can generate acceptable first drafts at minimal cost. However, demand is more likely to shift towards people who can direct, verify and distinguish the final work.

Timed-writing target

The response is 399 words. This length is acceptable, but aim for roughly 320–370 words if the saved time is used to test whether every body paragraph contains a claim, explanation and specific example or consequence.

05 · TEACHER-EDITED VERSION

Your response, carefully edited

This is not a separate model answer. It preserves your position and examples while strengthening precision, development and natural wording.

Generative artificial intelligence has become a widely debated issue because systems that produce text, images and computer code are now broadly available. Supporters argue that these tools increase productivity and make creative work more accessible, whereas critics fear that they may erode human skills, reduce employment opportunities and weaken the perceived value of human-made work. In my view, these risks require careful management, but AI is more likely to enhance human work than replace it entirely.

On the one hand, AI can lower the barrier to both creative and technical activities. Building a web application or producing digital artwork once required substantial specialist training before a beginner could create a usable result. AI can now handle routine implementation tasks and provide rapid first drafts. In my own web-development work, for example, using AI to generate repetitive script components and perform diagnostic checks saves considerable time. This allows me to concentrate on system architecture and user experience, where contextual judgment matters more than speed.

On the other hand, overreliance on these systems may weaken independent thinking and foundational skills. Employment is also likely to be affected unevenly. Professionals who produce routine, high-volume material, such as basic advertising copy or stock illustrations, may face greater pressure because companies can obtain acceptable drafts at very low cost. Human-created work may also lose some economic or cultural value if audiences cannot distinguish it from automated output. Nevertheless, human creators can still offer lived experience, cultural understanding and deliberate emotional choices that a model can imitate only indirectly.

For these reasons, I believe that humans and AI can complement one another effectively, provided that responsibility remains with the user. AI is particularly useful for drafting and analysing structured data, but human oversight is still needed to detect errors, refine logic and decide whether a result is appropriate. Education and workplaces should therefore teach people to verify AI output and maintain the underlying skills needed to work without it.

In conclusion, concerns about skills, employment and the value of creative work are justified, but they do not outweigh the gains in accessibility and productivity. If AI remains an accountable assistant rather than an unquestioned substitute for human judgment, it is likely to be a positive force in future creative and professional work.

06 · NEXT-STEP PRACTICE

Turn this review into progress

Use these short exercises on the next Task 2 response.

01

Development ladder

Choose three claims from a practice essay. After each one, add a mechanism, then a consequence, then one concrete example. Keep only the strongest support.

02

Calibration edit

Circle absolute words such as always, destroy, perfectly and impossible. Replace each with wording that matches the strength of the available evidence.

03

Opinion upgrade

Write one opinion paragraph that adds a condition, policy or trade-off not already stated in the introduction. Check that it advances the argument.

FINAL REVIEW

Estimated Band 7.5

The response already demonstrates strong control of organisation and grammar. The next band depends chiefly on making each supporting idea more precise, fully developed and carefully qualified.

Prepared for your Auvoxi Human Review submission. Keep this report with your teacher-edited version for future comparison.